

# The classification of 3-manifolds admitting positive scalar curvature

Nicolas Ginoux

Seminar on spectral geometry - University of Regensburg

January 22, 2013

**Abstract:** Following [5, Sec. IV.6] and Perelman's solution to the geometrisation conjecture, we present the classification of those closed 3-manifolds admitting a Riemannian metric with positive scalar curvature.

The classification is two-step: first we show that a 3-manifold admitting a  $K(G, 1)$ -factor cannot carry any metric with positive scalar curvature (PSC); then we use the geometrisation for 3-manifolds to deduce that, in the connected-sum-decomposition of a 3-manifold carrying PSC into prime factors, only  $S^1 \times S^2$ 's or quotients of  $S^3$  can appear.

## 1 Closed 3-manifolds with a $K(G, 1)$ -factor

**Definition 1.1** *Given a group  $G$ , a  $K(G, 1)$ -space is a topological space  $X$  such that  $\pi_1(X) = G$  and  $\pi_k(X) = 0$  otherwise.*

For instance, the circle  $S^1$  is a  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$ -space and more generally, the  $n$ -torus  $\mathbb{T}^n := (S^1)^n$  is a  $K(\mathbb{Z}^n, 1)$ -space. Any path-connected covering of a  $K(G, 1)$ -space is a  $K(G', 1)$ -space for some subgroup  $G' \subset G$ . The homotopy- (in particular the homology-)type of a  $K(G, 1)$ -CW-complex is uniquely determined by  $G$ , see [4, Thm. 1B.8]. Moreover, a CW-complex  $X$  is  $K(G, 1)$  iff its universal covering is *contractible*.

If an  $(n \geq 1)$ -dimensional closed manifold  $M^n$  is  $K(G, 1)$ , then  $G$  is infinite, since otherwise the universal covering  $\widetilde{M}^n$  of  $M^n$  would be *compact* and contractible, in particular orientable; but then  $H_n(\widetilde{M}^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \neq 0$ , contradiction. Actually a much stronger statement holds: if a (finite-dimensional but

non-necessarily compact) manifold  $M^n$  is  $K(G, 1)$ , then  $G$  has no nontrivial element of finite order: since otherwise there would exist  $g \in G$  with  $\langle g \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  and, corresponding to  $\langle g \rangle$ , a covering  $\widehat{M} \rightarrow M$  of  $M$  with  $\pi_1(\widehat{M}) = \langle g \rangle$ , in particular  $\widehat{M}$  would be  $K(\langle g \rangle, 1) = K(\mathbb{Z}_k, 1)$ ; but for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , one has  $H^{2l}(K(\mathbb{Z}_k, 1); \mathbb{Z}_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}_k$  (see [4, Ex. 1B.4] for a concrete description of a  $K(\mathbb{Z}_k, 1)$ -space), in particular  $H^{2l}(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}_k \neq 0$  for any  $l$  with  $2l > \dim(\widehat{M})$ , contradiction. Therefore  $g$  has to be of infinite order, i.e.,  $\langle g \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

Another important feature of  $K(G, 1)$ -spaces is the following “classifying” property [4, Prop. 1B.9]: if  $X$  is a connected CW-complex,  $Y$  a  $K(G, 1)$ -space and  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$  arbitrary points, then any group homomorphism  $\pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow G = \pi_1(Y, y_0)$  is induced by a continuous map  $(X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  which is unique up to homotopy fixing  $x_0$ .

The main result of this section is the following theorem [5, Thm. IV.6.18], originally stated and proved in [3] (see [3, Thm. 8.1]).

**Theorem 1.2 (Gromov-Lawson [3])** *Any closed smooth 3-manifold  $M^3$  which can be written as the connected sum with a (closed smooth)  $K(\pi, 1)$ -manifold cannot carry any metric with positive scalar curvature. Moreover, any metric with non-negative scalar curvature on  $M^3$  must be flat.*

*Proof:* W.l.o.g. we may assume that  $M$  is connected and, up to taking a two-fold-covering of  $M$ , that  $M$  is orientable. We first assume that the closed manifold  $M$  itself is a  $K(\pi, 1)$ -manifold. Since any orientable 3-manifold is already spin,  $M$  is spin. Since  $\pi \neq 1$ , there exists a loop – which, up to homotopy, may be assumed smooth and embedded –  $\gamma$  such that  $1 \neq [\gamma] \in \pi$ . Consider the covering  $\widehat{M} := \widetilde{M}/\langle [\gamma] \rangle \rightarrow M$ , where  $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$  is the universal covering of  $M$  and  $\langle [\gamma] \rangle \subset \pi$  is the subgroup of  $\pi$  generated by  $[\gamma]$ . Then the loop  $\gamma$  lifts to  $\widehat{M}$  as a curve  $\widehat{\gamma}$  which, by construction of  $\widehat{M}$ , is actually a loop in  $\widehat{M}$  and whose homotopy class generates  $\pi_1(\widehat{M})$ ; in an equivalent way,  $[\widehat{\gamma}] \in \pi_1(\widehat{M})$  is the preimage of  $[\gamma]$  via the canonical isomorphism  $\pi_1(\widehat{M}) \cong \langle [\gamma] \rangle$  provided by the lifting property for curves and homotopies to coverings. Note that  $\langle [\gamma] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$  by the preliminary remarks above, in particular  $\widehat{M}$  is a  $K(\mathbb{Z}; 1)$ -space and therefore cannot be compact (for  $\widehat{M}$  is homotopy-equivalent to the  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$ -space  $S^1$ , for which  $H_3(S^1; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  holds).

Now if  $M$  carried a metric with positive scalar curvature, then the pull-back metric on the spin manifold  $\widehat{M}$  would be *complete* (as is the pull-back of any complete metric on a covering), would have *uniformly* positive scalar

curvature and *bounded* Ricci curvature (since  $M$  is compact and the ranges of  $\text{Scal}$  and  $|\text{Ric}|$  do not change when passing to coverings). Choose a sufficiently small open tubular neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\widehat{\gamma}$  in  $\widehat{M}$  and consider the (non-compact complete) manifold  $X := \widehat{M} \setminus U$  with boundary  $\partial X = \partial U \cong S^1 \times S^1$ . If we show that  $X$  is a *bad end* for  $\widehat{M}$  in the sense of [5, Def. IV.6.16], that is, if there exists a smooth map  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  with  $Y$  enlargeable<sup>1</sup> and  $\deg(F|_{\partial X}) \neq 0$ , then [5, Thm. IV.6.17] (“*Any non-compact spin manifold containing a bad end cannot carry any complete metric with uniformly positive scalar curvature and bounded Ricci curvature*”) implies a contradiction for  $\widehat{M}$ .

To prove that  $X$  is a bad end, we first notice that the inclusion  $\iota : \partial X \rightarrow X$  induces an isomorphism  $H_1(\partial X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ : indeed since both  $U$  and  $X = \widehat{M} \setminus U$  have small neighbourhoods which deformation retract onto them, one may write the Mayer-Vietoris long exact homology sequence for  $(\widehat{M} = X \cup \overline{U}, X, \overline{U})$  down and obtain (with  $\overline{U} \cap X = \partial X$ )

$$\dots \rightarrow H_2(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\partial X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_1(U; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{j} H_1(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_0(\partial X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots,$$

where  $H_1(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_0(\partial X; \mathbb{Z})$  is the zero-map since both  $H_0(\partial X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_0(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H_0(\partial X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_0(U; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  are isomorphisms, and where  $H_2(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  since the  $K(\mathbb{Z}; 1)$ -space  $\widehat{M}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $S^1$ . Moreover, the inclusion  $U \subset \widehat{M}$  is a homotopy equivalence since all induced group homomorphisms  $\pi_k(U) \cong \pi_k(\widehat{\gamma}(S^1)) \rightarrow \pi_k(\widehat{M})$  are isomorphisms (see e.g. [4, Thm. 4.5] for Whitehead’s theorem characterising homotopy equivalences between connected CW-complexes), in particular  $H_1(U; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z})$  must be an isomorphism, which implies that the injective homomorphism  $H_1(\partial X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$  also has to be surjective: for any  $c \in H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ , there exists a unique  $c' \in H_1(U; \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\iota_X(c) = \iota_U(c') \in H_1(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ , so that  $(c, c') \in \ker(j) = \text{im}(\iota_{\partial X}^X \oplus \iota_{\partial X}^U)$  and thus  $c \in \text{im}(\iota_{\partial X}^X)$ , as claimed. Hence,  $H_1(\partial X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism and therefore  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(S^1 \times S^1; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ .

The trick is now to reinterpret the Hurewicz group homomorphism  $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$  as a group homomorphism  $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(K(\mathbb{Z}^2, 1))$ ; but then the “classifying property” of  $K(G, 1)$ -spaces yields the existence of a continuous (which we can probably assume to be smooth) map  $F : X \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1 = K(\mathbb{Z}^2, 1)$  inducing that group homomorphism  $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(K(\mathbb{Z}^2, 1))$ . Since

---

<sup>1</sup>A smooth manifold  $Y$  is called *enlargeable* iff, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an oriented covering  $\widehat{Y}_\varepsilon \rightarrow Y$  and an  $\varepsilon$ -contracting  $C^1$  map  $f_\varepsilon : \widehat{Y}_\varepsilon \rightarrow S^n$  which is constant at infinity and of non-zero degree [5, Def. IV.5.2].

the diagramme

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(\partial X) & \longrightarrow & H_1(\partial X; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \pi_1(X) & \longrightarrow & H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \pi_1(S^1 \times S^1) \end{array}$$

commutes and the Hurewicz homomorphism  $\pi_1(\partial X) \rightarrow H_1(\partial X; \mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism (the group  $\pi_1(\partial X)$  is abelian), the group homomorphism  $\pi_1(F|_{\partial X}) : \pi_1(\partial X) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^1 \times S^1)$  must be an isomorphism. Since  $\pi_k(F|_{\partial X}) : 0 = \pi_k(\partial X) \rightarrow 0 = \pi_k(S^1 \times S^1)$  is anyway an isomorphism for all  $k \neq 1$ , we deduce that  $F|_{\partial X} : \partial X \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1$  is a *homotopy equivalence* (see again e.g. [4, Thm. 4.5]), which in turn implies that it must have degree  $\pm 1$ . Since  $S^1 \times S^1$  is enlargeable, the subset  $X$  is a bad end for  $\widehat{M}$  and therefore we obtain a contradiction.

In the general case, the closed connected oriented manifold  $M$  can be written in the form  $M = M' \sharp N$ , where  $M'$  is  $K(\pi, 1)$ . Take any smooth map  $\text{col} : M \rightarrow M'$  collapsing the  $N$ -factor to a point  $p' \in M'$ . As before, pick a smooth embedded loop  $\gamma$  in  $M' \setminus \{p'\}$  such that  $1 \neq [\gamma] \in \pi_1(M')$  (one may assume that  $\gamma$  does not run through  $p'$ ) and let  $\widehat{M}' := \widehat{M}' / \langle [\gamma] \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{pr}' } M'$  be a covering of  $M'$  with  $\pi_1(\widehat{M}') \cong \langle [\gamma] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\widehat{\gamma}$  be the lifted loop in  $\widehat{M}' \setminus \text{pr}'^{-1}(\{p'\})$ . Pulling the covering  $\widehat{M}' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}' } M'$  back via the map  $\text{col}$  provides a covering  $\widehat{M} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} M$  making the diagramme

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{M} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\text{col}}} & \widehat{M}' \\ \downarrow \text{pr} & & \downarrow \text{pr}' \\ M & \xrightarrow{\text{col}} & M' \end{array}$$

commute. Actually the map  $\widehat{\text{col}} : \widehat{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}'$  collapses the copies of  $N$ -factors in  $\widehat{M}$  to points of  $\text{pr}'^{-1}(\{p'\})$  and its restriction to the complement of the  $N$ -factors is a diffeomorphism onto  $\widehat{M}' \setminus \text{pr}'^{-1}(\{p'\})$ . As above, if a metric with positive scalar curvature is given on  $M$ , then it can be lifted to the spin manifold  $\widehat{M}$  as a complete metric with uniformly positive scalar curvature and bounded Ricci curvature. Now we can choose a sufficiently small tubular neighbourhood  $U'$  about the lifted loop  $\widehat{\gamma}$  in  $\widehat{M}'$  such that  $U' \subset \widehat{M}' \setminus \text{pr}'^{-1}(\{p'\})$ , take the (relatively compact) preimage  $U := \widehat{\text{col}}^{-1}(U') \subset \widehat{M}$  and consider  $X := \widehat{M} \setminus U$  and  $X' := \widehat{M}' \setminus U'$  respectively. As before, there exists a smooth map  $F' : X' \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1$  such that

$F'_{|\partial X'} : \partial X' \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1$  is of non-zero degree. Composing with  $\widehat{\text{col}}$ , one obtains a smooth map  $F = F' \circ \widehat{\text{col}} : X \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1$  such that  $F_{|\partial X}$  is of non-zero degree since  $\widehat{\text{col}}_{|\partial X} : \partial X \rightarrow \partial X'$  is a diffeomorphism. Therefore  $X$  is a bad end for  $\widehat{M}$  and we obtain again a contradiction.

For the proof of the flatness of any metric with non-negative scalar curvature on  $M$ , we refer to [3, Thm. 7.48].  $\square$

## 2 Classification

We are now ready to state the main result of this talk. Recall that any closed (orientable) 3-manifold  $M$  can be written as the connected sum of finitely many irreducible manifolds and of copies of  $S^1 \times S^2$ 's (Kneser's theorem). More precisely, J. Milnor [6] showed that the irreducible factors of  $M$  are either  $K(\pi, 1)$ -manifolds or closed 3-manifolds  $\Sigma_j$  with finite fundamental group. If  $M$  carries PSC, then by Theorem 1.2, there is no  $K(\pi, 1)$ -factor in the connected sum, so that only  $S^1 \times S^2$ 's or  $\Sigma_j$ 's can appear. But Perelman's solution to the geometrisation conjecture [7, 8, 9] implies that the universal covering of  $\Sigma_j$ , being simply-connected and closed, is diffeomorphic to  $S^3$  and therefore  $\Sigma_j \cong S^3/\Gamma_j$ , where  $\Gamma_j$  is a finite subgroup of  $\text{SO}_4$ . Obviously,  $S^1 \times S^2$  and each quotient of  $S^3$  by a finite fixed-point-free subgroup of  $\text{SO}_4$  carry a metric with PSC (even a homogeneous one); since PSC is preserved by codimension  $k \geq 3$ -surgery [2, 10], the connected sum of any two closed 3-manifolds with PSC also admits PSC. Therefore, we obtain the following

**Theorem 2.1 (Closed orientable 3-manifolds with PSC)** *A closed orientable 3-dimensional smooth manifold  $M^3$  admits a metric with positive scalar curvature iff it is diffeomorphic to the connected sum of finitely many copies of  $S^1 \times S^2$ 's and of quotients of  $S^3$  by (finite) fixed-point-free subgroups of  $\text{SO}_4$ , that is, iff*

$$M^3 \cong S^3/\Gamma_1 \# \dots \# S^3/\Gamma_p \# \underbrace{(S^1 \times S^2) \# \dots \# (S^1 \times S^2)}_{q \text{ times}}$$

for  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\Gamma_j \subset \text{SO}_4$  is finite and fixed-point-free, for all  $1 \leq j \leq p$ .

We refer to [1, Ch. 4] for the classification of the finite subgroups of  $\text{SO}_4$ .<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup>It would remain to identify those which are fixed-point-free!

## References

- [1] J.H. Conway, D.A. Smith, *On quaternions and octonions: their geometry, arithmetic, and symmetry*, A K Peters, 2003.
- [2] M. Gromov, H.B. Lawson, *The classification of simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **111** (1980), no. 3, 423–434.
- [3] M. Gromov, H.B. Lawson, *Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. **58** (1983), 83–196.
- [4] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [5] H.B. Lawson, M.-L. Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*, Princeton University Press, 1989.
- [6] J. Milnor, *A unique decomposition theorem for 3-manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 1–7.
- [7] G. Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, arXiv:math/0211159 (2002).
- [8] G. Perelman, *Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds*, arXiv:math/0303109 (2003).
- [9] G. Perelman, *Finite extinction time for the solutions to the Ricci flow on certain three-manifolds*, arXiv:math/0307245 (2003).
- [10] R. Schoen, S.-T. Yau, *On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Manuscripta Math. **28** (1979), no. 1-3, 159–183.